



ceocointerviews.com
© All rights reserved
March 2021 - Updates

Emil Malak Wrote!



Emil Malak

Environmental scientists' got climate change 99% per cent wrong!

Is a mere one percent really causing the latest climate disasters? Of course not!

All these green parties' principles are based on total lies, deceit, and fear not true science.

The only difference climate change trillions of dollars will make is bankruptcy of our western economies.

Even if you achieve zero emission, you will still get the same climate fluctuation. They may even increase; it is a natural phenomenon.

A mere 1% in total between all the greenhouses gases, including carbon dioxide couldn't practically and scientifically make such climate fluctuation changes. There is no question that observation made on, and above earth's surface show the earth climate is changing. But there is zero scientific evidence that the greenhouse gases above earth are due to human activities and not earth warming as it gets closer to the Sun.

Total carbon dioxide/CO₂ in the atmosphere is only 0.04%, the percentage of human caused CO₂ is only .0016%. 95% of carbon oxide/CO₂ emission is caused by natural forces of nature such as volcanos, forest fires and natural decomposition process.

Scientists are making politically incorrect statements blaming climate change on the mere one percent of greenhouse gases emission by humans Even if we agree with their flawed science that the jet streams travel so fast carrying the greenhouse gases above earth, a one percent in the atmosphere cannot be the cause of the hurricanes, forest fires, cyclones, heatwaves, it is just mathematically impossible. Even if the carbon dioxide lingers above earth, it is eventually sequestered by nature such as algae, planktons, trees. We should look after our oceans and revive our depleting Algae, planktons, and replant billions of trees.

We need to restart our nuclear power plants. I hope Germany is reading my op-ed. Nuclear is climate net zero.

All these false statements. Wind, solar and electric cars energies are doing as much harm as fossil fuel, and no one have considered how much carbon print you are using in their productions. It is all a fear farce created by all these green parties supported social media narrative that purposely failed to be independent.

As I wrote before, we need to focus on technologies that will take out the minute greenhouse gases emission instead of banning them. We have evidence that greenhouse gases do rise and accumulate above cities causing pollution, such as in India, China, and Iran's cities causing breathing problems. For sure we need to lessen their local impact, and we need to find a solution. I fully support nuclear power stations.

The politicians support these made-up, non-factual, not based on true data, no historical comparison, because it gives them more control over a trusting voter. Fear is now the new effective tool to gain votes, and climate change created fear, coronavirus vaccines more fear, resulting in more control, more votes for our new western world fake politicians.

While the Western politicians keep on spending trillions of dollars to get zero emission, the poor underdeveloped countries are not taking any notice, as the priority is to feed their people.

Mark my word, spending trillions of dollars for achieving a zero-carbon emission in years to come will not make slight dent in climate change, you are deliberately bankrupting your economies.

Forest fires, floods, heat waves, have nothing to with our gas's emissions!

Stop telling people all these latest weather-related disasters are caused by human climate change.

The scientific facts do not prove any relation between the carbon dioxide, greenhouse gas emission and the latest weather disasters fluctuations.

Green parties have been elected on a totally false narrative, using scary techniques supported by social media to indoctrinate todays pea-brain generation.

We have had five ice ages cycles, are we having the first heat wave cycle?

Turning the page from the pandemic

After writing 17 op-eds over the past 18 months on the coronavirus I decided to depart from that subject and focus on another scam being propagated throughout society today, climate change.

I support minimizing carbon dioxide and greenhouse gas emissions and policing the polluting of our oceans

Every country needs to do their part to take care of their surroundings and manage the quality of the air they breathe. Air pollution is not only harmful to the environment, but also to our health. We must all do our part to be good stewards of the planet, and part of that means reducing greenhouse gas and carbon dioxide emissions to lessen any negative impact. The problem is politicians are using climate change disaster fear message as a control mechanism.

The conflicted environmental scientists are manipulating information's and twisting facts to con people into thinking those emissions are destroying the planet and we are on the cusp of some cataclysmic climate change disasters. Carbon dioxide emissions have absolutely nothing to do with climate change and they are not moving us towards any of the catastrophic scenarios these people are predicting. That is a baseless scam created by pseudoscientists and advanced by power hungry politicians. At the end, it is all about control and money, our health is irrelevant.

Additionally, it is sad to see how so many irresponsible countries have treated our oceans like a garbage dump. We need to punish those countries that dispose of garbage, plastics, and other pollutants into our oceans. I support the formation of a united international environmental policing agency to crack down on anyone that pollutes and harms the world's oceans.

Forest fires, floods, heat waves, have nothing to with our gas's emissions!

Stop telling people all these latest weather-related disasters are caused by human climate change. The scientific facts do not prove any relation between the carbon dioxide, greenhouse gas emission and the latest weather disasters fluctuations.

Green parties have been elected on a totally false narrative, using scary techniques supported by social media to indoctrinate today's pea-brain generation.

We have had five ice ages cycles, are we having the first heat wave cycle?

Blaming climate change on carbon dioxide and greenhouse gases is a scam The heat cycle age is a natural phenomenon like the ice age

The wave of climate change misinformation currently being pushed by many so-called environmentalists and political green party loyalists across the western world is nothing more than fear mongering tactics designed to control the gullible masses to acquire power and more money. We are constantly being told that climate change is the result of carbon dioxide and greenhouse gas emissions from human industrialization and burning fossil fuels. In this article, I present scientific data that proves that those claims have zero credibility and are not based on any scientific proof whatsoever. I will show that the recent climate swings have nothing to do with the miniscule increase in

CO2 emissions caused by human activity but are simply part of the earth's natural cycles. Like the COVID vaccine scam, this is just another way to instill fear in people and increase power and control.

Environmental fact checkers and social media companies that like to censor information that is contrary to their narratives do not need to dig very deep to find the truth. A simple internet search will show them the true facts about the makeup of the atmosphere and humankind's very tiny and negligible contribution to the total amount of CO2. If they are looking to blame someone for the occurrence of natural disasters they should blame God, not man. They should send him a memo and direct all of their complaints regarding "natural" disasters to my loving and almighty God.

Activists have perpetuated a lie that CO2 is a scary and dangerous gas that is rapidly filling the atmosphere and slowly heating the planet on its way to ending life as we know it. There is not a single, reputable, scientific paper they can point to that proves carbon dioxide and greenhouse gases are causing the climate to change or causing the catastrophes they claim are taking place. Let me say this again, "there is not a single, reputable, scientific paper they can point to that proves carbon dioxide and greenhouse gases are triggering the climate to change or triggering the catastrophes they claim are taking place."

The total share of carbon dioxide in the atmosphere is only 0.04%; the percentage of human caused CO2 is only .0016%

We are constantly bombarded with claims that reckless amounts of CO2 emissions are being released into the atmosphere, but how much CO2 is really in the atmosphere? And how much of it is a result of human behavior?

Let's look at the facts. Ninety-nine percent of the atmosphere is made up of nitrogen (78%) and oxygen (21%). The remaining 1% is composed of other gases, mostly argon (0.096%) and only 0.04% is carbon dioxide (CO2). Of the total CO2, 95% is caused by natural forces of nature such as volcanoes, forest fires and natural decomposition processes. The human contribution of CO2 in the air makes up only 0.0016%. That is the amount that is emitted into the atmosphere as a result of human behavior like the extraction of coal and burning fossil fuels. Those are the facts. Human industrialization is only a very tiny contributor to CO2 emissions, but you would never know it by listening to the climate change alarmists. They use every natural occurrence as an excuse to assign blame to human behavior and industry. Whether there has been a flood, an earthquake, forest fires, heat waves, blizzards, or a volcanic eruption, activists always blame climate change to scare us into making drastic changes and handing them more power.

<https://scied.ucar.edu/image/gases-earths-atmosphere>
<https://easierwithpractice.com/what-living-things-release-carbon-dioxide-into-the-atmosphere/>

Greenhouse gases, and carbon dioxide have a near zero effect on the climate!

Before I began to personally study the matter closely and consult with my friends in science, I too believed what the alarmists were saying about excessive human CO2 emissions causing climate change and being responsible for so many natural occurrences like flooding, monsoons, and fires. But once I dug deep and thoroughly researched the subject, I saw that the facts did not support their claims.

Another false claim by environmental activists is that excess carbon dioxide and greenhouse gas emissions created by humans is responsible for 94% of the temperature rise in the ocean. The earth is an estimated 4.5 billion years old, and we have only had the ability to **accurately** measure temperatures and sea and land levels for the past one hundred years at most. Breaking down the numbers, that means that we have only been able to accumulate reliable data for a period of time equal to just two ten millionths of history of the earth's existence, or 0.000000022222222222222222%. This is beyond laughable! And to make matters worse, it is desperately foolish that we are rushing to such drastic conclusions after only being able to measure these metrics for such a comparatively insignificant amount of time.

The Paris Accord is a useless and immoral agreement

The Paris Accord is a legally binding international treaty whose goal is to have the major countries of the world come together and commit to substantially reducing global greenhouse gas emissions to address climate change. These countries are not using actual data but are creating their own theories based on flawed science. If they were using true data, they would know that CO2 emissions from human industry are completely negligible as far climate change is concerned. They are using the climate

change narrative to impose major limitations on the largest contributors to the global economy while giving China, the world's biggest polluter by far, a pass. The Paris Accord seems more about global socialism than it is about climate change.

Iron ore and other nutrients mixture cultivation could reduce CO2, revive our Planktons, and bring back our disintegrating fish.

Criticism and hindsight are easy, I always try to give a possible solution.

Excess Carbon Dioxide in one area is dangerous, yes, I agree we need to eliminate CO2. Here is a proposal: An iron ore and nutrient mixture could become the next revolution in ocean agriculture cultivating phytoplankton to restore the dwindling fish stock back to our oceans. As well as restoring the fish, we can also drastically reduce our CO2. Fifty million tonnes of carbon dioxide are added yearly to our atmosphere.

The function of Planktons is through photosynthesis. Phytoplanktons use sunlight, nutrients, carbon dioxide and water to produce oxygen and nutrients for another organism to eat. 70% of earth is covered by oceans and phytoplankton are responsible for 50% of the oxygen we breath. Phytoplankton and Algae form the basis of Aquatic food web, they make up all the fish we as human consume.

In average joe language, phytoplankton could provide the solution in reducing carbon dioxide emission to zero by converting it to oxygen and in the meantime bring back our disappearing fish.

Let's reduce carbon dioxide by one hundred percent!

One ship can carry one hundred tonnes of iron ore mixture.

Choose the appropriate locations offshore. Apply a very specific technique in the gradual release of the iron ore mixture. It is believed that one hundred tonnes ship of iron ore could suck up between one hundred thousand to two hundred thousand tonnes of carbon dioxide from the atmosphere. If you have 50 ships, multiplied by the lowest number of one hundred thousand tonnes per ship, you get fifty thousand tonnes of carbon dioxide, now you are carbon neutral.

On top of all that, you replenish your fish stock back, and get financially rewarded, at 50 dollars per tonnes, you make two and half billion dollars, less your ships and equipment's of 20%, you are left with two billion dollars. That is a real environmental business, not the idiocy and stupidity of present proposal for climate change credits reductions.

I am not an expert but back in July 2015, myself and my only and most trusted doctor friend, commissioned a study from the renowned and experienced marine scientist professor Mak Sito at Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution for a review on phytoplankton's nutrients. We will make the report public if requested by interested governments.

Each country should seriously consider the economic benefit in setting up an Agency to deal with revival of their offshore planktons, fish stock and the Hughe financial benefits through this idiotic carbon credit scam. You will notice that the oceans near the deserts have blooming planktons after sandstorms, the only scientific reason are the winds carrying the sands in rich in iron ore and other nutrients by dumping it in the ocean.

Prince Charles on climate change, you got it totally wrong!

Yes, I support you getting net zero target. The idiot's scientists who are telling you that it is human contribution of carbon dioxide that is causing today's climate change are one hundred per cent wrong, Simply, the math does not add up.

Deploy 50 ships that continuously feed the oceans with iron ore mixtures, bring back the planktons, replenish your fish stock, and in the meantime, you can get rid of all the carbon dioxide produced by nature and human.

Climate change is a natural earth evolution phenomenon, unless you can ask our great and loving "GOD" to redial earth cycle, stop blaming the minuscules one percent of all combined gases in our atmosphere for today's climate disasters.

<https://www.vanityfair.com/style/2021/01/prince-charles-terra-carta-climate-change-plan>

How much permafrost is released in the atmosphere? Is still less than one per cent!

A good close friend called me and pointed out that my op-ed math is scientifically incorrect. My one percent combination of all gases, including Carbon dioxide, methane and greenhouse gases is totally wrong.

The permafrost is responsible for all the climate warming we are having presently. Unless we have invented new mathematics, number do not lie. People misrepresentation fully endorsed by social media is the twenty first century disease.

As earth warms, scientists worry that some of the carbon in permafrost could escape to the atmosphere as carbon dioxide and methane. Increasing the amount of these gases in the atmosphere could make earth's climate warm up even more. As permafrost thaws, microbes begin to decompose, such decomposition release greenhouse gases like carbon dioxide and methane to the atmosphere, still a part of the one percent.

The facts have not changed even with the claims of permafrost melting, one precent still the same number of all greenhouse gases, methane, and carbon dioxide in our atmosphere today, including the permafrost.

<https://www.quora.com/Does-melting-permafrost-end-up-releasing-a-lot-of-methane-gas-damaging-the-atmosphere>

0.0016% CO2 is negligible Why are we comparing apples and oranges?

In 2019 the global average atmospheric carbon dioxide was 409.8 parts per million. While that level is higher than at any point in the past 800,000 years, it is negligible and not significant nor responsible for the disasters it is blamed for. We also have multitudes more people and animals on the planet today than ever before. We have more plants and trees that require CO2 than at any point in history.

I have always advocated the need to be good stewards of the environment and do our

part to eliminate pollution and reduce emissions where possible. It's common knowledge that people who live in cities with higher pollution levels have higher rates of respiratory issues. We all want to improve the conditions around us so we can live in a place where we can breathe clean air, but that has nothing to do with climate change. Let's not let them get away with creating phony doomsday scenarios of imminent planetary destruction designed to scare people so they can increase their control and impose greater restrictions.

Sadly, there is a new breed of scientists that are more eager to manipulate data in such a way as to align themselves with a political narrative, rather than to stay committed to going where the facts take them. My challenge to them is to stick to the facts and stop politicizing their findings with bogus pseudo-scientific models of climate change scenarios that they know are unfounded. For decades they have been making dire predictions of coming environmental tragedies of rising seas and global warming. For years they have been telling us how we must take radical measures IMMEDIATELY because the earth is almost to the point of no return and would soon be rendered uninhabitable.

Some politicians have also been notorious for their "end of the world as we know it" claims. In 2006, former Vice President Al Gore produced his Oscar winning documentary, *An Inconvenient Truth*, in which he made a series of doomsday predictions that could only be averted if we were to take "drastic measures". He said if we didn't reduce greenhouse gases, the world would reach a "point of no return" in a mere ten years. He called it a "true planetary emergency." In his review of Gore's work, now discredited NASA climatologist, James Hansen wrote, "We have at most 10 years – not 10 years to decide upon action, but 10 years to alter fundamentally the trajectory of global greenhouse emissions." Here we are more than 15 years later, safe from the phony cataclysmic pronouncements of those two frauds.

With a new generation of young millennials and gen Z's that are too young to remember how foolish Gore and Hansen were, another rising political star is making her own doomsday climate change predictions. Over two and a half years ago, when she took office in January of 2019, Congresswoman Alexandria Ocasio Cortez told everyone that would listen that "The world is going to end in 12 years if we don't address climate change." Of course, this was simply a way to scare people into supporting her infamous Green New Deal. It's interesting how Cortez does not act like someone who really believes the world is only less than 9 ½ years away from destruction.

United Nations latest intergovernmental panel report on climate change is total scientific nonsense?

What better than the latest heat waves, forest fires, flash floods, hurricanes to be used as a tool to scare the average person. Fear based on misinformation is the new political tool to blame climate change on carbon dioxide and greenhouse gas emission.

How can a mere less than one percent cause such extreme climate swings? The United Nations secretary general Antonio Guterres urged an immediate end to coal energy and

other high polluting fossil fuels. I urge the secretary to go back to elementary school and strengthen his failed mathematics.

<https://www.cbc.ca/news/science/united-nations-climate-change-1.6134493>

Greta Thunberg should return to school and get a real degree.

The Swedish environmental activist urges world leaders to take immediate action for climate change mitigation in reducing the carbon dioxide, fossil fuels and greenhouse gas emissions. She needs to return to school and study real science, then get a real job serving society instead of making garbage statements on climate change.

Politicians should refrain from punishing their voters with more taxes based on a total pipe dream theory that has no relation to today's climate change. It is a natural evolution of our planet. Tax our great GOD but not us!

<https://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-9877907/Families-face-huge-bill-green-Tackling-climate-change-cost-fortune.html?ito=push-notification&ci=2UIkj5ZU1T&si=28011570&ai=9877907>

The U.S. Court of International Trade

The abundance of repeated fraudulent claims must be put to a stop. They are using this intentional misinformation to extort money from businesses in the name of carbon credits or carbon footprint taxes. Surely the courts should have something to say about this continued thievery based on knowingly false information. Someone needs to bring charges and initiate an inquiry with judicial agencies like the U.S. Court of international trade, the World Trade Organization, or the International Business Court in Europe. We cannot continue to allow anyone to use baseless scare tactics on the public for their political or financial gain.

<https://www.climate.gov/news-features/understanding-climate/climate-change-atmospheric-carbon-dioxide#:~:text=The%20global%20average%20atmospheric%20carbon,least%20the%20past%20800%2C000%20years.>

There have been at least five previous ice ages in earth's history Extreme weather changes are a natural part of earth's evolution

It is believed the earth has experienced at least five significant ice ages in its history, each time followed by warmer interglacial periods. We are currently living in an interglacial period right now. Extreme weather is nothing new to the planet and is simply part of earth's natural evolution. These wide variations in weather cycles are certainly nothing new and have had nothing to do with human CO2 emissions or industrialization. No credible scientist would ever predict that the 0.016% CO2 in the atmosphere caused by man will bring about the destruction of the earth as we know it.

Carbon credits are a control mechanism based on fraudulent claims

Extorting money from large corporations under the guise of carbon credit taxes or carbon footprint taxes is simply another way for government to exercise control over large corporations. Even if human caused CO₂ emissions increased tenfold from 0.016% to 0.16%, it would still only account for less than 1/6th of 1% of all the CO₂ in the atmosphere. Even with an increase of one-hundredfold it would only be slightly more than 1%.

This kind of fear mongering is nothing new. It is standard practice by some to scare people into giving up their freedoms and willingly yield some of their rights. I wrote about this same thing in many of my 17 previous op-eds on the coronavirus. When they can succeed at scaring people, they can achieve their way with them. Look how the social media companies and pharmaceuticals have so easily used COVID-19 to create mass fear, bring about lockdowns and institute mandatory experimental vaccines. Just like the push to keep the pandemic alive, climate change hysteria is about money, power, and control, not people's health.

Does Alexandria Ocasio Cortez realize the degree of fraud behind her infamous "Green New Deal"? Implementing it would be like flushing \$93 trillion down the toilet

Radical environmental activists preach that we must completely eliminate the use of fossil fuels. Not only is that foolish and irrational, but it is also impossible. They feel better about themselves when they drive their electric cars but fail to realize that the carbon footprint spent to manufacture that car, its parts and battery is about equal to the carbon footprint of driving 100,000 miles in a car with a combustion engine. And how do they think those batteries will be disposed of at the end of their usable lives? Politicians that make false claims about needing to move to 100% clean and renewable energy by some arbitrary date like 2030 are knowingly pushing phony doomsday narratives. Their goal is to instill fear in voters and portray themselves as the enlightened ones that will save the planet from certain destruction.

What is a carbon footprint?

A carbon footprint is the total amount of greenhouse gases (including carbon dioxide and methane) that are generated by human action, usually expressed in equivalent tons of carbon dioxide. The carbon footprint of a person, family or company is calculated by measuring their emissions from their use of transport vehicles, heating and cooling homes and buildings, electricity usage, and anything else that is powered by burning fossil fuels. The total amount of carbon dioxide they emit into the atmosphere is used to calculate their carbon footprint.

As the facts which I have presented clearly show, human activity only accounts for 0.0016% of all the CO₂ in the atmosphere. But that already incredibly low emission level does not stop the radicals from shaming us and trying to force the imposition of draconian reforms to unnecessarily lower it even more. This utter stupidity is met with little resistance because people are generally ignorant of the facts, and social media propaganda only helps promote the climate change misinformation campaign. There is

not a single shred of evidence to support the notion that the current 0.0016% of human caused CO2 emissions has done anything to affect the climate, but that does not stop the push to recklessly implement exorbitant measures.

What is becoming of our 21st century world? I fear for the future of our children when so many forced major changes are taking place that are based on lies and misinformation. The latest nonsense is the crazy plan to **capture carbon dioxide**. Billions of dollars have been allocated to create the infrastructure that is supposed to reverse CO2 emissions. It is shocking to see government officials needlessly waste so much money and critical resources on these crazy measures that have no basis in facts or real science. God help us!

Netherlands court orders Royal Dutch Shell to cut carbon emissions 45% by 2030; Fossil fuel and mining companies need to take action to defend themselves

On May 26, 2021, the Hauge District Court ordered oil giant Royal Dutch Shell to “limit all [carbon dioxide] emissions into the atmosphere ... by at least 45% at the end of 2030, relative to 2019 levels.” It marks the first time in history a court has held a corporation liable for causing climate change. This landmark decision by the court was the epitome of virtue signaling. Did the court even bother to educate themselves on the true scientific facts? A simple internet search would have shown them there is no basis whatsoever for this ridiculous decision. Perhaps the judges entered the case with preconceived bias and were determined to use Shell as a scapegoat to make a political statement. Conceivably they were trying to be seen as the world’s climate change heroes and champions of the environment. Instead, history will show they only succeeded at making fools of themselves and bringing this independent legal system into disrepute.

I lay the blame squarely on the shoulders of the pseudo-scientists like James Hansen and other opportunists that make up these baseless, wild theories and discredit themselves and their field. How easy it is for them to scare the public with their unfounded rubbish by pointing fingers at fossil fuels and coal fired stations. It is time for the fossil fuel companies to stand up and challenge the bogus science and misinformation put out by conflicted scientists and radical activists. This is just a business for them. The more they scare the public the more endowments, funds and government grants they can secure for their so-called research and green organizations, keeping their jobs alive.

How can companies be assessed taxes for CO2 emissions on the assumption their emissions are contributing to climate change when there is absolutely zero proof that CO2 emissions have any effect whatsoever on the climate. Every company that has had to pay this made-up tax should fight back and demand a refund with interest. Oil producing companies such as Royal Dutch Shell, BP plc, Exxon Mobil, Total SE, Chevron, Saudi Arabia Oil co. Aramco and Petro China, among others, need to launch legal action against all governments that are extorting money from them under the guise of a carbon tax, which is based on fraudulent science and bogus claims of manmade

climate disasters.

What is the solution?

First, we need to recognize that the earth is a massive living organism that constantly undergoes regular cyclical changes. The earth's climate has been changing since its beginning, such as the many alternating ice ages and heat cycles it has undergone before man made human emissions ever began. We may be due for a temperature heating cycle.

Second, we must strengthen our infrastructure through re-engineering. It does not make sense to invest in the mass rebuilding of some towns, villages and cities in the same locations that are prone for natural disasters.

Additionally, we need to strengthen offshore coastal defense structures to better protect against occurrences like tsunamis and tidal fluctuations. More caution should be taken when developing coastal real estate and properties located on beaches. Homes further inland or on higher ground are typically safer than those located directly on the shore.

And as I mentioned earlier, we must be good stewards of the cities, towns, states, and countries in which we live. All measures we can take to reduce and eliminate pollution and needless emissions will help make our world a better place. It has nothing to do with bowing to the false climate change narrative, and everything to do with us making sure we take care of our local communities and ensure we can all breathe cleaner air.

Our Oceans and marine life need to be better protected

It is also imperative we enforce regulations to protect our oceans. Serious penalties must be assessed to countries and companies that dump plastics and garbage into the oceans. Any country caught doing that should have their products banned from import. We must crack down on all ocean polluters and toxic waste dumping. Advances in artificial intelligence and industrialization can also give us new ways for disposing of sewage and improving conversion rates and utilization rates of methane gases.

Feasibility studies for new pipeline infrastructures should be conducted to assess and research new ways to deal with forest fires. Having pipelines running from the ocean inland to areas prone to seasonal forest fires could provide a quicker and safer way to extinguish those fires and save millions of acres of forestry. If indeed the oceans are rising this could also provide a method to divert salt water out of the ocean. Let's look for real solutions and stop with the bogus climate change lies aimed at creating fear and extorting money.

The climate change fake pioneers

Things like Tesla cars, wind energy, and solar energy are not saving our planet from climate change. As I mentioned, the carbon footprint involved in making and disposing

of these products is not much different from their fossil fuel counterparts. Only after these technologies become economical should we consider their widespread use. Subsidizing them now does not make any sense. When the time comes for me to replace my current car, I will consider purchasing an electric car if I feel it will save me money in the long run and if I really like the car. It will NOT be a decision I make under any foolish illusions that doing so will somehow help save the planet.

Now that green parties have had their climate nonsense exposed, what is next?

I am eagerly waiting for green pundits to lash out and defend their fake claims. Of course, we should expect more lies and deceit to continue to mislead the public. All these green deal initiatives must stop. Billions of dollars are being flushed away on false green causes. We need to spend those billions on creating the next industrial revolution that will lessen the impact of CO2 and greenhouse gases, etc.

Only an industrial innovative revolution will save us

America and the west have failed miserably at supporting innovation and new inventors. In today's legal system that governs patents, the laws are heavily skewed towards protecting patent infringers which in turn punishes innovation. Many of the decisions made in courts across the U.S. are making it more difficult for a small inventor to ever be able to monetize their inventions. Large corporations know how to game the system and drag out the legal process to the point where a small company is drained of all resources and unable to maintain the fight for their intellectual property rights. We need to incorporate artificial intelligence technology more fully into the legal system to create an unbiased method using a points system to determine the validity of a patent within a predefined period, such as two years.

Stagflation, high inflation, lack of productivity, supply chain breakdowns, and civil unrests will lead to the next depression

As I have warned before, economic pressures created by the vaccine's failures along with financial stress from immoral carbon taxes forced upon companies that are wrongfully being blamed for climate change will lead us into a depression like we have never seen before.

Within the next two years or sooner, the printing machines that have become of the Federal Reserve Bank and the European Central Bank will be forced to shut down. They will have no option at that point but to adopt a gold, silver, or some other type of industrial precious metals as a measuring standard of their currencies' values. As a result, I expect to see a more than 50% reduction in the world's stock markets. In my opinion, this may be a good time to sell off some stock holdings and shift investments into cash and physical gold and silver. Bitcoin and other cryptocurrencies are a scam and I believe a recipe for bankruptcy.